

Universal Algebraic Geometry

Part 2

Evelina Daniyarova¹

based on joint results with

Alexei Myasnikov² and Vladimir Remeslennikov¹

1 Sobolev Institute of Mathematics of the SB RAS, Omsk, Russia

2 McGill University, Montreal, Canada

International Algebraic Workshop on “New Algebra-Logical Methods in
Solution for Systems of Equations in Algebraic Structures”,

August 16 – 22, 2009, Omsk, Russia

What Is Universal Algebraic Geometry?

Universal algebraic geometry =

= transfer of general notions and ideas from concrete algebraic geometries to the case of arbitrary algebraic structure +

+ formulation and proof of general results not using technique and properties, specific for concrete algebraic structures +

+ development of theory along with decision of new problems arising in this area.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be an equationally Noetherian algebra in a language \mathcal{L} (with no predicates). Then for a finitely generated algebra \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{L} the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{C})$, i.e., $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A})$;
- 2 $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{C})$;
- 3 \mathcal{C} embeds into an ultrapower of \mathcal{A} ;
- 4 \mathcal{C} is discriminated by \mathcal{A} ;
- 5 \mathcal{C} is a limit algebra over \mathcal{A} ;
- 6 \mathcal{C} is an algebra defined by a complete atomic type in the theory $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$ in \mathcal{L} ;
- 7 \mathcal{C} is the coordinate algebra of a non-empty irreducible algebraic set over \mathcal{A} defined by a system of equations in the language \mathcal{L} .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be an equationally Noetherian algebra in a language \mathcal{L} (with no predicates). Then for a finitely generated algebra \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{L} the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{C})$;
- 2 $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Pvar}(\mathcal{A})$;
- 3 \mathcal{C} embeds into a direct power of \mathcal{A} ;
- 4 \mathcal{C} is separated by \mathcal{A} ;
- 5 \mathcal{C} is a subdirect product of finitely many limit algebras over \mathcal{A} ;
- 6 \mathcal{C} is an algebra defined by a complete atomic type in the theory $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$ in \mathcal{L} ;
- 7 \mathcal{C} is the coordinate algebra of a non-empty algebraic set over \mathcal{A} defined by a system of equations in the language \mathcal{L} .

Definition

An algebra \mathcal{A} is **equationally Noetherian**, if for any positive integer n and any system of equations $S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ there exists a finite subsystem $S_0 \subseteq S$ such that $V(S) = V(S_0)$.

A first-order **language** (or **signature**) is a set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\text{set of constant symbols } c\} \cup \{\text{set of functional symbols } F\}.$$

Note: We consider only languages with no predicates.

Every functional symbol F is given with their arity n_F .

Terms in a language \mathcal{L} in variables X are formal expressions defined recursively as follows:

- variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and constants from \mathcal{L} are terms;
- if t_1, \dots, t_n are terms and $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{L}$ is function then $F(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term.

Atomic formulas are formulas of the form $(t = s)$, where t, s are terms.

Formulas in \mathcal{L} in variables X are defined recursively as follows:

- atomic formulas are formulas;
- if Φ and Ψ are formulas then $\neg\Phi$, $(\Phi \vee \Psi)$, $(\Phi \wedge \Psi)$, $(\Phi \rightarrow \Psi)$ are formulas;
- if Φ is a formula and x is a variable then $\forall x \Phi$ and $\exists x \Phi$ are formulas.

One of the principle results in mathematical logic states that any formula Φ is equivalent to a formula Ψ in the following prenex form:

$$Q_1 x_1 \dots Q_n x_n \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigvee_{j=1}^{s_i} \Psi_{ij} \right),$$

where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and Ψ_{ij} is an atomic formula or its negation.

Formulas in \mathcal{L} in variables X are defined recursively as follows:

- atomic formulas are formulas;
- if Φ and Ψ are formulas then $\neg\Phi$, $(\Phi \vee \Psi)$, $(\Phi \wedge \Psi)$, $(\Phi \rightarrow \Psi)$ are formulas;
- if Φ is a formula and x is a variable then $\forall x \Phi$ and $\exists x \Phi$ are formulas.

One of the principle results in mathematical logic states that any formula Φ is equivalent to a formula Ψ in the following **prenex form**:

$$Q_1 x_1 \dots Q_n x_n \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigvee_{j=1}^{s_i} \psi_{ij} \right),$$

where $Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$ and ψ_{ij} is an atomic formula or its negation.

Recall that a **universal formula** in \mathcal{L} is a formula with no free variables of the type

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigvee_{j=1}^{s_i} w_{ij}(\bar{x}) \neq v_{ij}(\bar{x}) \right),$$

and a **quasi-identity** is a universal formula of the type

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \left(\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m t_i(\bar{x}) = s_i(\bar{x}) \right) \rightarrow (t(\bar{x}) = s(\bar{x})) \right),$$

where $t, s, t_i, s_i, w_{ij}, v_{ij}$ are terms in \mathcal{L} in variables $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Recall that a **universal formula** in \mathcal{L} is a formula with no free variables of the type

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigvee_{j=1}^{s_i} w_{ij}(\bar{x}) \equiv v_{ij}(\bar{x}) \right),$$

and a **quasi-identity** is a universal formula of the type

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \left(\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m t_i(\bar{x}) = s_i(\bar{x}) \right) \rightarrow (t(\bar{x}) = s(\bar{x})) \right),$$

where $t, s, t_i, s_i, w_{ij}, v_{ij}$ are terms in \mathcal{L} in variables $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Definition

Domain is a associative commutative ring with no zero-divisors and $1 \neq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_r = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ be the language of rings.

$$\forall x, y \quad x \cdot y = y \cdot x,$$

$$\forall x, y \quad x \cdot y = 0 \rightarrow x = 0 \vee y = 0,$$

$$1 \neq 0.$$

Fact

These universal formulas axiomatize the universal class of domains in the variety of all associative rings with 1.

Definition

Domain is a associative commutative ring with no zero-divisors and $1 \neq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_r = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ be the language of rings.

$$\forall x, y \quad x \cdot y = y \cdot x,$$

$$\forall x, y \quad x \cdot y = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad x = 0 \vee y = 0,$$

$$1 \neq 0.$$

Fact

These universal formulas axiomatize the universal class of domains in the variety of all associative rings with 1.

Definition

Domain is a associative commutative ring with no zero-divisors and $1 \neq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_r = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ be the language of rings.

$$\forall x, y \quad x \cdot y = y \cdot x,$$

$$\forall x, y \quad x \cdot y = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad x = 0 \vee y = 0,$$

$$1 \neq 0.$$

Fact

These universal formulas axiomatize the universal class of domains in the variety of all associative rings with 1.

Definition

Group G is a **CSA-group** if every maximal abelian subgroup H in G is malnormal. Subgroup H in G is **malnormal** if $H^g \cap H = 1$ for all $g \in G \setminus H$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_g = \{\cdot, ^{-1}, 1\}$ be the language of groups.

$$\text{CT: } \forall x, y, z \quad x \neq 1 \wedge [x, y] = [x, z] = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad [y, z] = 1,$$

$$\forall x, y \quad [x^y, x] = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad [x, y] = 1.$$

Fact

These universal formulas axiomatize the universal class of CSA-groups in the variety of all groups.

Definition

Group G is a **CSA-group** if every maximal abelian subgroup H in G is malnormal. Subgroup H in G is **malnormal** if $H^g \cap H = 1$ for all $g \in G \setminus H$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_g = \{\cdot, ^{-1}, 1\}$ be the language of groups.

$$\text{CT: } \forall x, y, z \quad x \neq 1 \wedge [x, y] = [x, z] = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad [y, z] = 1,$$

$$\forall x, y \quad [x^y, x] = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad [x, y] = 1.$$

Fact

These universal formulas axiomatize the universal class of CSA-groups in the variety of all groups.

Definition

Group G is a **CSA-group** if every maximal abelian subgroup H in G is malnormal. Subgroup H in G is **malnormal** if $H^g \cap H = 1$ for all $g \in G \setminus H$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_g = \{\cdot, ^{-1}, 1\}$ be the language of groups.

$$\text{CT: } \forall x, y, z \quad x \neq 1 \wedge [x, y] = [x, z] = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad [y, z] = 1,$$

$$\forall x, y \quad [x^y, x] = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad [x, y] = 1.$$

Fact

These universal formulas axiomatize the universal class of CSA-groups in the variety of all groups.

Let k be a field and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Lie}} = \{+, [,], 0, \alpha \cdot, \alpha \in k\}$ the language of Lie algebras over field k .

Fact

Quasi-identities

$$\forall x, y, z, w \quad [[x, y], [z, w]] = 0,$$

$$\forall x, y \quad [[x, y], y] = 0 \wedge [[y, x], x] = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad [x, y] = 0,$$

axiomatize the quasi-variety of metabelian Lie algebras with abelian the Fitting's radicals in the variety of all Lie algebras over field k .

Let k be a field and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Lie}} = \{+, [,], 0, \alpha \cdot, \alpha \in k\}$ the language of Lie algebras over field k .

Fact

Quasi-identities

$$\forall x, y, z, w \quad [[x, y], [z, w]] = 0,$$

$$\forall x, y \quad [[x, y], y] = 0 \wedge [[y, x], x] = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad [x, y] = 0,$$

axiomatize the quasi-variety of metabelian Lie algebras with abelian the Fitting's radicals in the variety of all Lie algebras over field k .

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra in a language \mathcal{L} .

We denote by $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all universal formulas in \mathcal{L} which hold on algebra \mathcal{A} . Similarly, $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all quasi-identities in \mathcal{L} which hold on \mathcal{A} .

Definition

The set $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$ is named **universal theory** of the algebra \mathcal{A} . The set $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$ is named **quasi-equational theory** of the algebra \mathcal{A} .

Algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in a language \mathcal{L} are termed **universally equivalent**, if $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{B})$. In this case we write $\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\forall} \mathcal{B}$.

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra in a language \mathcal{L} .

We denote by $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all universal formulas in \mathcal{L} which hold on algebra \mathcal{A} . Similarly, $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all quasi-identities in \mathcal{L} which hold on \mathcal{A} .

Definition

The set $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$ is named **universal theory** of the algebra \mathcal{A} . The set $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$ is named **quasi-equational theory** of the algebra \mathcal{A} .

Algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in a language \mathcal{L} are termed **universally equivalent**, if $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{B})$. In this case we write $\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\forall} \mathcal{B}$.

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra in a language \mathcal{L} .

We denote by $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all universal formulas in \mathcal{L} which hold on algebra \mathcal{A} . Similarly, $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all quasi-identities in \mathcal{L} which hold on \mathcal{A} .

Definition

The set $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$ is named **universal theory** of the algebra \mathcal{A} . The set $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$ is named **quasi-equational theory** of the algebra \mathcal{A} .

Algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in a language \mathcal{L} are termed **universally equivalent**, if $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{B})$. In this case we write $\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\forall} \mathcal{B}$.

Criterion

Algebraic structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in a language \mathcal{L} are universally equivalent iff they have the same local submodels.

- A. I. Malcev, *Algebraic structures*, Nauka, Moscow, 1970.

Definition

The **universal closure** of \mathcal{A} is the class of all algebras in \mathcal{L} which satisfy all formulas from $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$.

We denote it by **Ucl**(\mathcal{A}).

Remark

For two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in a language \mathcal{L} holds:

$$\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{B}) \iff \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Definition

Quasi-variety generated by \mathcal{A} is the class of all algebras in \mathcal{L} which satisfy all formulas from $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$.

We denote it by **Qvar**(\mathcal{A}).

Definition

The **universal closure** of \mathcal{A} is the class of all algebras in \mathcal{L} which satisfy all formulas from $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$.

We denote it by $\mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark

For two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in a language \mathcal{L} holds:

$$\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{B}) \iff \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Definition

Quasi-variety generated by \mathcal{A} is the class of all algebras in \mathcal{L} which satisfy all formulas from $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$.

We denote it by $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition

The **universal closure** of \mathcal{A} is the class of all algebras in \mathcal{L} which satisfy all formulas from $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$.

We denote it by $\mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark

For two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in a language \mathcal{L} holds:

$$\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{B}) \iff \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Definition

Quasi-variety generated by \mathcal{A} is the class of all algebras in \mathcal{L} which satisfy all formulas from $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$.

We denote it by $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be an equationally Noetherian algebra in a language \mathcal{L} (with no predicates). Then for a finitely generated algebra \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{L} the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{C})$, i.e., $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A})$;
- 2 $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{C})$;
- 3 \mathcal{C} embeds into an ultrapower of \mathcal{A} ;
- 4 \mathcal{C} is discriminated by \mathcal{A} ;
- 5 \mathcal{C} is a limit algebra over \mathcal{A} ;
- 6 \mathcal{C} is an algebra defined by a complete atomic type in the theory $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$ in \mathcal{L} ;
- 7 \mathcal{C} is the coordinate algebra of a non-empty irreducible algebraic set over \mathcal{A} defined by a system of equations in the language \mathcal{L} .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be an equationally Noetherian algebra in a language \mathcal{L} (with no predicates). Then for a finitely generated algebra \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{L} the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{C})$;
- 2 $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Pvar}(\mathcal{A})$;
- 3 \mathcal{C} embeds into a direct power of \mathcal{A} ;
- 4 \mathcal{C} is separated by \mathcal{A} ;
- 5 \mathcal{C} is a subdirect product of finitely many limit algebras over \mathcal{A} ;
- 6 \mathcal{C} is an algebra defined by a complete atomic type in the theory $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$ in \mathcal{L} ;
- 7 \mathcal{C} is the coordinate algebra of a non-empty algebraic set over \mathcal{A} defined by a system of equations in the language \mathcal{L} .

There are three perspectives for investigation in algebraic geometry over algebra \mathcal{A} :

- 1 algebraic,
- 2 geometrical,
- 3 logic.

Logical approach is connected with examination of universal class $\mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A})$ and quasi-variety $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem (Gorbunov, Maltsev)

- 1 $\mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{A});$
- 2 $\mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{SR}_u(\mathcal{A});$
- 3 $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{SP}_f(\mathcal{A});$
- 4 $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{SPR}_u(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{SP}_u\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{A});$
- 5 $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{SP}_u\mathbf{P}_\omega(\mathcal{A});$
- 6 $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A}) = \underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{SP}(\mathcal{A});$
- 7 $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{S}\underline{\mathbf{L}}_s\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{A}) = \underline{\mathbf{L}}_s\mathbf{SP}(\mathcal{A}) = \underline{\mathbf{L}}_s\mathbf{P}_s(\mathcal{A}) = \underline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{SP}(\mathcal{A}).$

- V. A. Gorbunov, *Algebraic theory of quasivarieties*, Nauchnaya Kniga, Novosibirsk, 1999; English transl., Plenum, 1998.
- A. I. Malcev, *Algebraic structures*, Nauka, Moscow, 1970.

Lemma

Let \mathcal{A} be an equationally Noetherian algebra. Then the following algebras are equationally Noetherian too:

- *every algebra from $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A})$;*
- *every algebra from $\mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be algebras in a language \mathcal{L} .

Definition

We say that algebra \mathcal{B} is **separated** by algebra \mathcal{A} if for any distinct elements $b_1, b_2 \in B$ there exists a homomorphism $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $h(b_1) \neq h(b_2)$.

Definition

We say that an algebra \mathcal{B} is **discriminated** by algebra \mathcal{A} if for any finite set W of elements from B there exists a homomorphism $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ whose restriction onto W is injective.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be algebras in a language \mathcal{L} .

Definition

We say that algebra \mathcal{B} is **separated** by algebra \mathcal{A} if for any distinct elements $b_1, b_2 \in B$ there exists a homomorphism $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $h(b_1) \neq h(b_2)$.

Definition

We say that an algebra \mathcal{B} is **discriminated** by algebra \mathcal{A} if for any finite set W of elements from B there exists a homomorphism $h : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ whose restriction onto W is injective.

Let $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ be the free Lie algebra over a field k of rank 2.
Then:

- 1 $F_2 \times F_2$ is separated by F_2 ,
- 2 $F_2 \times F_2$ is not discriminated by F_2 .

Facts

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be algebras in a language \mathcal{L} . Then:

- if \mathcal{B} is separated by \mathcal{A} then $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A})$;
- if \mathcal{B} is discriminated by \mathcal{A} then $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let F_r be the free non-abelian group of rank r . Then:

① $F_2 \subseteq F_r$,

② $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ contains free group F_∞ of countable rank:

$$F_\infty = \langle x^{-1}yx, x^{-2}yx^2, x^{-3}yx^3, \dots \rangle,$$

③ thus, F_r is discriminated by F_m for all $r, m \geq 2$,

④ thus, $F_r \cong_{\forall} F_m$ for all $r, m \geq 2$.

Let F_r be the free non-abelian group of rank r . Then:

① $F_2 \subseteq F_r$,

② $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ contains free group F_∞ of countable rank:

$$F_\infty = \langle x^{-1}yx, x^{-2}yx^2, x^{-3}yx^3, \dots \rangle,$$

③ thus, F_r is discriminated by F_m for all $r, m \geq 2$,

④ thus, $F_r \equiv_{\forall} F_m$ for all $r, m \geq 2$.

Let F_r be the free non-abelian group of rank r . Then:

① $F_2 \subseteq F_r$,

② $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ contains free group F_∞ of countable rank:

$$F_\infty = \langle x^{-1}yx, x^{-2}yx^2, x^{-3}yx^3, \dots \rangle,$$

③ thus, F_r is discriminated by F_m for all $r, m \geq 2$,

④ thus, $F_r \equiv_{\forall} F_m$ for all $r, m \geq 2$.

Let F_r be the free non-abelian group of rank r . Then:

① $F_2 \subseteq F_r$,

② $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ contains free group F_∞ of countable rank:

$$F_\infty = \langle x^{-1}yx, x^{-2}yx^2, x^{-3}yx^3, \dots \rangle,$$

③ thus, F_r is discriminated by F_m for all $r, m \geq 2$,

④ thus, $F_r \equiv_{\forall} F_m$ for all $r, m \geq 2$.

Let F_r be the free non-abelian group of rank r . Then:

① $F_2 \subseteq F_r$,

② $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ contains free group F_∞ of countable rank:

$$F_\infty = \langle x^{-1}yx, x^{-2}yx^2, x^{-3}yx^3, \dots \rangle,$$

③ thus, F_r is discriminated by F_m for all $r, m \geq 2$,

④ thus, $F_r \equiv_{\forall} F_m$ for all $r, m \geq 2$.

Let F_r be the free non-abelian Lie algebra over a field k of rank r . Then:

① $F_2 \subseteq F_r$,

② $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ contains free Lie algebra F_∞ of countable rank:

$$F_\infty = \langle [y, x], [[y, x], x], [[[y, x], x], x], \dots \rangle,$$

③ thus, F_r is discriminated by F_m for all $r, m \geq 2$,

④ thus, $F_r \equiv_{\forall} F_m$ for all $r, m \geq 2$.

Let F_r be the free non-abelian associative algebra over a field k of rank r . Then:

- 1 $F_2 \subseteq F_r$,
- 2 $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ contains free associative algebra F_∞ of countable rank:

$$F_\infty = \langle yx, yxx, yxxx, \dots \rangle,$$

- 3 thus, F_r is discriminated by F_m for all $r, m \geq 2$,
- 4 thus, $F_r \equiv_{\forall} F_m$ for all $r, m \geq 2$.

Let F_r be the free non-abelian metabelian Lie algebra over a field k of rank r .

Fact

- 1 If field k is infinite then F_r is discriminated by F_m and $F_r \equiv_{\forall} F_m$ for all $r, m \geq 2$.
- 2 If field k is finite then F_r is not discriminated by F_m and $F_r \not\equiv_{\forall} F_m$ for all $r > m \geq 2$.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be algebras in a language \mathcal{L} .

Definition

We say that algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are **geometrically equivalent** if for any natural number n and any system of equations $S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the equality

$$\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{A}}(S) = \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{B}}(S)$$

holds.

B.I. Plotkin asked: When to algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent?

- B. I. Plotkin, *Algebras with the same (algebraic) geometry*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, **242**, 2003, pp. 176–207.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be algebras in a language \mathcal{L} .

Definition

We say that algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are **geometrically equivalent** if for any natural number n and any system of equations $S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the equality

$$\text{Rad}_{\mathcal{A}}(S) = \text{Rad}_{\mathcal{B}}(S)$$

holds.

B.I. Plotkin asked: When to algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent?

- B. I. Plotkin, *Algebras with the same (algebraic) geometry*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, **242**, 2003, pp. 176–207.

Lemma

The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent;
- 2 the class of coordinate algebras of algebraic sets over \mathcal{A} coincides with the class of coordinate algebras of algebraic sets over \mathcal{B} ;
- 3 $\mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{A})_\omega = \mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{B})_\omega$.

$\mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{A})_\omega$ = class of finitely generated algebras which separated by algebra \mathcal{A} .

Corollary

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be equationally Noetherian algebras in a language \mathcal{L} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent;
- 2 $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{B})$.

Lemma

The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent;
- 2 the class of coordinate algebras of algebraic sets over \mathcal{A} coincides with the class of coordinate algebras of algebraic sets over \mathcal{B} ;
- 3 $\mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{A})_\omega = \mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{B})_\omega$.

$\mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{A})_\omega$ = class of finitely generated algebras which separated by algebra \mathcal{A} .

Corollary

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be equationally Noetherian algebras in a language \mathcal{L} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent;
- 2 $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{B})$.

Lemma

The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent;
- 2 the class of coordinate algebras of algebraic sets over \mathcal{A} coincides with the class of coordinate algebras of algebraic sets over \mathcal{B} ;
- 3 $\mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{A})_\omega = \mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{B})_\omega$.

$\mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{A})_\omega$ = class of finitely generated algebras which separated by algebra \mathcal{A} .

Corollary

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be equationally Noetherian algebras in a language \mathcal{L} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent;
- 2 $\mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{B})$.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be algebras in a language \mathcal{L} .

Definition

Algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are **universally geometrically equivalent** if

- 1 the class of coordinate algebras over \mathcal{A} coincides with the class of coordinate algebras over \mathcal{B} ;
- 2 the class of irreducible coordinate algebras over \mathcal{A} coincides with the class of irreducible coordinate algebras over \mathcal{B} .

Lemma

The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are universal geometrically equivalent;
- 2 $\mathbf{Dis}(\mathcal{A})_\omega = \mathbf{Dis}(\mathcal{B})_\omega$.

$\mathbf{Dis}(\mathcal{A})_\omega$ = class of finitely generated algebras which discriminated by algebra \mathcal{A} .

Corollary

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be equationally Noetherian algebras in a language \mathcal{L} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent;
- 2 $\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\forall} \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma

The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are universal geometrically equivalent;
- 2 $\mathbf{Dis}(\mathcal{A})_\omega = \mathbf{Dis}(\mathcal{B})_\omega$.

$\mathbf{Dis}(\mathcal{A})_\omega$ = class of finitely generated algebras which discriminated by algebra \mathcal{A} .

Corollary

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be equationally Noetherian algebras in a language \mathcal{L} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent;
- 2 $\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\forall} \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma

The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are universal geometrically equivalent;
- 2 $\mathbf{Dis}(\mathcal{A})_\omega = \mathbf{Dis}(\mathcal{B})_\omega$.

$\mathbf{Dis}(\mathcal{A})_\omega$ = class of finitely generated algebras which discriminated by algebra \mathcal{A} .

Corollary

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be equationally Noetherian algebras in a language \mathcal{L} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are geometrically equivalent;
- 2 $\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\forall} \mathcal{B}$.

Fact

All abelian torsion-free groups are universal equivalent to each other. So, they have the same algebraic geometry.

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra in a language \mathcal{L} .

Definition

Prevariety $\mathbf{Pvar}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by algebra \mathcal{A} is the least class of algebras in \mathcal{L} , such that:

- it contains algebra \mathcal{A} ;
- it closed under direct products;
- it closed under subalgebras.

Negations of universal formulas are **existential formulas**:

$$\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigvee_{j=1}^{s_i} w_{ij}(\bar{x}) \neq v_{ij}(\bar{x}) \right).$$

We denote by $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{A})$ the **existential theory** of algebra \mathcal{A} = the set of all existential formulas in \mathcal{L} which hold on \mathcal{A} .

Fact

For two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in a language \mathcal{L} hold:

- $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{B}) \implies \text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{B});$
- $\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\forall} \mathcal{B} \iff \mathcal{A} \equiv_{\exists} \mathcal{B}.$

Negations of universal formulas are **existential formulas**:

$$\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigvee_{j=1}^{s_i} w_{ij}(\bar{x}) \neq v_{ij}(\bar{x}) \right).$$

We denote by $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{A})$ the **existential theory** of algebra \mathcal{A} = the set of all existential formulas in \mathcal{L} which hold on \mathcal{A} .

Fact

For two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in a language \mathcal{L} hold:

- $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{B}) \implies \text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{B});$
- $\mathcal{A} \equiv_{\forall} \mathcal{B} \iff \mathcal{A} \equiv_{\exists} \mathcal{B}.$

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be an equationally Noetherian algebra in a language \mathcal{L} (with no predicates). Then for a finitely generated algebra \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{L} the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{C})$, i.e., $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Ucl}(\mathcal{A})$;
- 2 $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathcal{C})$;
- 3 \mathcal{C} embeds into an ultrapower of \mathcal{A} ;
- 4 \mathcal{C} is discriminated by \mathcal{A} ;
- 5 \mathcal{C} is a limit algebra over \mathcal{A} ;
- 6 \mathcal{C} is an algebra defined by a complete atomic type in the theory $\text{Th}_{\forall}(\mathcal{A})$ in \mathcal{L} ;
- 7 \mathcal{C} is the coordinate algebra of a non-empty irreducible algebraic set over \mathcal{A} defined by a system of equations in the language \mathcal{L} .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be an equationally Noetherian algebra in a language \mathcal{L} (with no predicates). Then for a finitely generated algebra \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{L} the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Qvar}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{C})$;
- 2 $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Pvar}(\mathcal{A})$;
- 3 \mathcal{C} embeds into a direct power of \mathcal{A} ;
- 4 \mathcal{C} is separated by \mathcal{A} ;
- 5 \mathcal{C} is a subdirect product of finitely many limit algebras over \mathcal{A} ;
- 6 \mathcal{C} is an algebra defined by a complete atomic type in the theory $\text{Th}_{\text{qi}}(\mathcal{A})$ in \mathcal{L} ;
- 7 \mathcal{C} is the coordinate algebra of a non-empty algebraic set over \mathcal{A} defined by a system of equations in the language \mathcal{L} .