## Covering ℝ-trees, ℝ-free groups, dendrites, and all that

V.N. Berestovskiĭ1 and C.P. Plaut

1 Sobolev Institute of Mathematics of the SB RAS, Omsk, Russia

International Algebraic Workshop on "New Algebra-Logical Methods in Solution for Systems of Equations in Algebraic Structures",

August 16-22, 2009, Omsk, Russia

- [MNO] J. Mayer, J. Nikiel, and L. Oversteegen, *Universal spaces* for ℝ-trees, TAMS, **334** (1992), 411–432.
  - [Za] A. Zastrow, Construction of an infinitely generated group that is not a free product of surface groups and abelian groups, but which acts freely on an ℝ-tree, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburg (A), **128** (1998), 433–445.
  - [BP] V. N. Berestovskii and C. P. Plaut, *Covering* ℝ-*trees*, ℝ-*free groups, and dendrites*, preprint arXiv:0904.3767 [math.MG] 23 Apr 2009, 16 p.

We prove that every length space X is the orbit space (with the quotient metric) of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $\overline{X}$  via a free action of a subgroup  $\Gamma(X)$  of isometries of  $\overline{X}$ .

 $\overline{X}$  is defined as the space of based "non-backtracking" rectifiable paths in X, where the distance between two paths is the sum of their lengths from the first bifurcation point to their endpoints.

## **Concourse A Terminal Directory**



 $\Gamma(X) \subset \overline{X}$  is the subset of loops with a natural group structure of "canceled concatenation" and the quotient mapping  $\overline{\phi} : \overline{X} \to X$  is the end-point map.

The mapping  $\overline{\phi} : \overline{X} \to X$  is a kind of generalized universal covering map called a URL-map, and  $\overline{X}$  is the unique (up to isometry)  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree that admits a URL-map onto *X*.

 $\Gamma(X) \subset \overline{X}$  is the subset of loops with a natural group structure of "canceled concatenation" and the quotient mapping  $\overline{\phi} : \overline{X} \to X$  is the end-point map.

The mapping  $\overline{\phi} : \overline{X} \to X$  is a kind of generalized universal covering map called a URL-map, and  $\overline{X}$  is the unique (up to isometry)  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree that admits a URL-map onto *X*.

Here a function *f* between length spaces is called unique rectifiable lifting (URL) if it has the following two properties:

- (I) *f* preserves the length of rectifiable paths in the sense that the length of *c* is equal to the length of  $f \circ c$  for every rectifiable path *c* in *X*;
- (II) If *c* is any rectifiable path in *Y* starting at a point *p* and f(q) = p then there is a unique path  $c_L$  starting at *q* such that  $f \circ c_L = c$ , and  $c_L$  is rectifiable.

When X is a local  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree,  $\overline{\phi} : \overline{X} \to X$  is the traditional universal covering map and the group  $\Gamma(X) = \pi_1(X)$ , the fundamental group of X.

When *X* is a complete Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  of dimension  $n \ge 2$ , or a fractal curve such as the Menger sponge  $\mathbb{M}$ , the Sierpin'ski carpet  $S_c$  or gasket  $S_g$ ,  $\overline{X}$  is isometric to the so-called "universal"  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $A_c$ , which has valency the continuum  $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{\aleph_0}$  at each point.

Recall that for a point *t* in a  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree *T*, the valency at *t* is the cardinality of the set of connected components of  $T \setminus \{t\}$ , and *T* is said to have valency at most  $\mu$  if the valency of every point in *T* is at most  $\mu$ .

A nontrivial complete metrically homogeneous  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree can be characterized as a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $A_{\mu}$  with valency  $\mu$  at each point for a cardinal number  $\mu \geq 2$ .

It is unique up to isometry, and  $\mu$ -universal in the sense that every  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree of valency at most  $\mu$  isometrically embeds in  $A_{\mu}$ . Recall that for a point *t* in a  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree *T*, the valency at *t* is the cardinality of the set of connected components of  $T \setminus \{t\}$ , and *T* is said to have valency at most  $\mu$  if the valency of every point in *T* is at most  $\mu$ .

A nontrivial complete metrically homogeneous  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree can be characterized as a complete  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $A_{\mu}$  with valency  $\mu$  at each point for a cardinal number  $\mu \geq 2$ .

It is unique up to isometry, and  $\mu$ -universal in the sense that every  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree of valency at most  $\mu$  isometrically embeds in  $A_{\mu}$ .

## The existence of $A_{\mu}$ and the results just mentioned were proved in [MNO]; $A_{c}$ can be isometrically embedded at infinity in the Lobachevski space $L^{n}$ , $n \geq 2$ .

For a general separable length space  $X, \overline{X}$  is a subtree of  $A_c$ . When X is  $M^n$ , M,  $S_c$ , or the Hawaiian earring H with a compatible length metric,  $\Gamma(X)$  is infinitely generated, locally free, not free, and cannot be presented as a free product of fundamental groups of closed surfaces and abelian groups. The existence of  $A_{\mu}$  and the results just mentioned were proved in [MNO];  $A_{c}$  can be isometrically embedded at infinity in the Lobachevski space  $L^{n}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ .

For a general separable length space  $X, \overline{X}$  is a subtree of  $A_c$ . When X is  $M^n, \mathbb{M}, S_c$ , or the Hawaiian earring H with a compatible length metric,  $\Gamma(X)$  is infinitely generated, locally free, not free, and cannot be presented as a free product of fundamental groups of closed surfaces and abelian groups. Moreover,  $\overline{X}$  is the minimal invariant  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree relative to the action of  $\Gamma(X)$ .

Hence in these cases the action of  $\Gamma(X)$  on  $\overline{X}$  adds to previous examples of Dunwoody and Zastrow [Za] that give a negative answer to a question of J. W. Morgan.

Indeed, for a particular choice of length metric on H, we obtain precisely Zastrow's example.

The complete text is given in the preprint [BP].

Moreover,  $\overline{X}$  is the minimal invariant  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree relative to the action of  $\Gamma(X)$ .

Hence in these cases the action of  $\Gamma(X)$  on  $\overline{X}$  adds to previous examples of Dunwoody and Zastrow [Za] that give a negative answer to a question of J. W. Morgan.

Indeed, for a particular choice of length metric on H, we obtain precisely Zastrow's example.

The complete text is given in the preprint [BP].